



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,311	12/17/2001	Jeffrey D. Sheely	56695US002	6642
32692	7590	07/12/2004	EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No. 10/024,311	Applicant(s) SHEELY, JEFFREY D.	
	Examiner Ula C Ruddock	Art Unit 1771	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☒ A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 June 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☒ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). mailed 12/31/02.
10. ☐ Other: _____

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: properties are a result of the structure, and as set forth in the rejection mailed 3/31/04, because both the tape of Serra et al. and the tape of the present invention disclose adhesive tapes comprising a nonwoven polyester or cotton scrim, a polyethylene backing layer, and a visually transparent acrylic adhesive, it is reasonable to presume that the claimed index refraction of the fibers and adhesive and the percentage of reflected light before and after adhering the tape to a substrate is inherent to the invention. Furthermore, with respect to situations where the Applicant claims properties which are not taught by the prior art, MPEP 2112.02 states when the structure recited in the references is substantially identical to that of the claims, claimed properties or functions are presume to be inherent. The Applicant has the burden of showing that they are not. In re Spada, 91 1 F. 2d 702, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). However, Applicant's arguments that the properties are not explicitly taught by the prior art is not sufficient to establish that the properties are not present in the prior art. Finally, Applicant's arguments regarding the amount of the scrim that is wetted by an adhesive are not commensurate in scope with the claims, because Applicant fails to define an exact amount that is wetted. Applicant simply uses the word "portions." Therefore, the Examiner is equating Serra's disclosure that the adhesive is present at the interstices of the scrim to the disclosure by Applicant that only portions of the scrim are wetted by an adhesive.

Ula Ruddock
Ula C. Ruddock
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 1700